



中大香港亞太研究所民調： 五成半市民對財政預算案感不滿

香港中文大學（中大）香港亞太研究所最近就 2025-26 年度財政預算案進行了一項電話調查。結果發現有五成半市民對新一年的財政預算案不滿意，並分別有超過六成市民認為預算案在開源和節流方面做得不足夠。調查結果簡述如下：

是次調查結果顯示，55.0%受訪者對今年的預算案感到不滿意，表示滿意的只有 8.0%，而回答「普通／一半半」的則有 32.9%（見附表一）。面對連年財赤，財政預算案能否做到開源節流備受關注。在開源方面，68.5%受訪者認為今年的預算案做得不足夠（45.7%）或非常不足夠（22.8%），只有 23.2%認為足夠（19.7%）或非常足夠（3.5%）（見附表二）。至於節流方面，則有 63.3%受訪者認為做得不足夠（42.1%）或非常不足夠（21.2%），只有 28.7%認為足夠（22.2%）或非常足夠（6.5%）（見附表三）。

為節省政府開支，新一年財政預算案宣布將會把「長者及合資格殘疾人士公共交通票價優惠計劃」的「兩蚊優惠」更改為「兩蚊兩折優惠」（註¹）。是次調查亦有詢問受訪市民是否贊成此新安排，結果顯示 50.2%受訪者表示贊成（41.5%）或非常贊成（8.7%），而不贊成（30.6%）或非常不贊成（14.1%）的則合共有 44.7%（見附表四）。另外，若從受訪者的年齡作進一步分析，經統計顯著性檢定（卡方檢定）顯示，計劃的受惠人士（即 60 歲或以上人士）及非受惠人士（即 18 至 59 歲人士）對「兩蚊兩折」新安排的看法的百分比分布呈統計上的顯著差異：60 歲或以上受訪者當中有 54.5%表示不贊成（32.5%）或非常不贊成（22.0%），表示贊成（33.6%）或非常贊成（7.1%）的佔 40.7%；而 18 至 59 歲的受訪者則是表示贊成的佔大多數，有 55.8%（贊成：46.0%；非常贊成：9.8%），反對的有 38.7%（不贊成：29.6%；非常不贊成：9.1%）（見附表五）。

註¹：「長者及合資格殘疾人士公共交通票價優惠計劃」最早於 2011 年推出，讓所有 65 歲或以上長者及合資格殘疾人士可以每程兩元的優惠票價使用指定的公共交通工具及服務，並自 2022 年開始把受惠年齡從 65 歲或以上長者下調至 60 歲或以上。為節省政府開支，新一年財政預算案宣布將會把原先的「兩蚊優惠」更改為「兩蚊兩折優惠」，即當票價高於 10 元時須付全額車費的兩折，而票價 10 元或以下則維持付兩元；另外，亦會加入每月 240 程的上限。是次調查只聚焦於「兩蚊兩折」而並未有詢問市民對於程數上限的意見。

是次調查採用雙框電話號碼（家居固網電話及手提電話）取樣設計，於 2025 年 2 月 26 日至 3 月 11 日期間共成功訪問了 711 位 18 歲或以上的香港市民（家居固網電話：175 名；手提電話：536 名），整體成功回應率為 61.0%（家居固網電話：57.8%；手提電話：62.0%）。以 711 個成功樣本數推算，百分比變項的抽樣誤差約在正或負 3.68 個百分點以內（可信度設於 95%）。此外，調查數據先後以雙框電話號碼樣本被抽中的機會率和政府統計處最新公布的性別及年齡分布作加權處理。

中大香港亞太研究所電話調查研究室
2025 年 3 月 14 日

傳媒查詢：中大香港亞太研究所副所長（執行）鄭宏泰博士（電話：3943 1341）。

【註：民望調查採用了家居固網及手提電話的雙框電話號碼取樣設計，有關數據經雙框電話號碼樣本被抽中的機會率和政府統計處最新公布的性別及年齡分布作加權處理。由於數據經過加權處理，可能會有進位（Rounding）的情況出現，個別數字相加的總和與總數未必相同，故可能出現總體百分比不等於100%的情況。】

附表一：對財政預算案的滿意度（百分比）

	百分比
不滿意	55.0
普通／一半半	32.9
滿意	8.0
不知道／很難說	4.2
（樣本數）	(711)

問題：「財政司司長陳茂波咁啱發表咗新一年嘅財政預算案，整體嚟講，你對呢份預算案滿唔滿意呢？係（1）不滿意、（2）普通或一半半，定係（3）滿意呢？」

附表二：財政預算案在開源方面是否足夠（百分比）

	百分比
非常足夠	3.5
足夠	19.7
不足夠	45.7
非常不足夠	22.8
不知道／很難說	8.4
（樣本數）	(706)

問題：「你覺得今年嘅財政預算喺開源方面做得足唔足夠呢？係（1）非常足夠、（2）足夠、（3）不足夠，定係（4）非常不足夠？」

附表三：財政預算案在節流方面是否足夠（百分比）

	百分比
非常足夠	6.5
足夠	22.2
不足夠	42.1
非常不足夠	21.2
不知道／很難說	7.9
（樣本數）	(711)

問題：「你覺得今年嘅財政預算喺節流方面做得足唔足夠呢？係（1）非常足夠、（2）足夠、（3）不足夠，定係（4）非常不足夠？」

附表四：對「兩蚊兩折乘車優惠」的贊成程度（百分比）

	百分比
非常贊成	8.7
贊成	41.5
不贊成	30.6
非常不贊成	14.1
不知道／很難說	5.2
（樣本數）	(708)

問題：「你贊唔贊成『2 蚊乘車優惠』嘅新安排中，車費 10 蚊以上時改為繳付車費嘅兩折呢？
係（1）非常贊成、（2）贊成、（3）不贊成，定係（4）非常不贊成？」

附表五：不同年齡人士對「兩蚊兩折乘車優惠」的贊成程度（百分比）

	18 至 59 歲	60 歲或以上
非常贊成	9.8	7.1
贊成	46.0	33.6
不贊成	29.6	32.5
非常不贊成	9.1	22.0
不知道／很難說	5.5	4.9
（樣本數）	(439)	(268)

問題：「你贊唔贊成『2 蚊乘車優惠』嘅新安排中，車費 10 蚊以上時改為繳付車費嘅兩折呢？
係（1）非常贊成、（2）贊成、（3）不贊成，定係（4）非常不贊成？」

註：經卡方檢定顯示，不同年齡組別的百分比分布差異達統計上顯著水平 [p < 0.05]。

Survey findings on views about the Hong Kong government's 2025-26 Budget released by Hong Kong Institute of Asia-Pacific Studies at CUHK

The Chinese University of Hong Kong (CUHK)'s Hong Kong Institute of Asia-Pacific Studies conducted a telephone survey from 26 February to 11 March 2025 to gauge citizens' views on the Hong Kong government's 2025-26 Budget. The survey found that 55.0% of the respondents were dissatisfied with the budget; more than 60% said the budget had not done enough in boosting revenue and reducing government's expenditure respectively.

According to the survey findings, 55.0% expressed dissatisfaction with the budget while 8.0% said they were satisfied and another 32.9% answered "in-between". Of the 68.5% who believed that the latest budget had not done enough in boosting revenue, 45.7% considered it inadequate while 22.8% rated it as very inadequate. Only 23.2% said it was either adequate (19.7%) or very adequate (3.5%). As for expenditure reduction, of the 63.3% who were dissatisfied, 42.1% believed the budget was inadequate and 21.2% said it was very inadequate, while 28.7% considered it adequate (22.2%) or very adequate (6.5%).

In an attempt to cut government's spending, the budget announced that the concessionary fares of the Government Public Transport Fare Concession Scheme for the Elderly and Eligible Persons with Disabilities will change from \$2 to "\$2 flat rate cum 80% discount" (Note 1). The survey found that 50.2% of the respondents agreed with this adjustment (agree: 41.5%; strongly agree: 8.7%), while 44.7% did not (disagree: 30.6%; strongly disagree: 14.1%). Furthermore, the result of the chi-square test revealed a statistically significant difference between beneficiaries of the scheme (i.e. those aged 60 or above) and non-beneficiaries (i.e. those aged 18 to 59) in their views towards this adjustment. Of the respondents aged 60 or above, 54.5% expressed opposition (disagree: 32.5%; strongly disagree: 22.0%), while 40.7% showed support (agree: 33.6%; strongly Agree: 7.1%). In contrast, 55.8% of the respondents aged 18 to 59 had a positive attitude towards the adjustment (agree: 46.0%; strongly agree: 9.8%) and 38.7% did not (disagree: 29.6%; strongly disagree: 9.1%).

Note 1: The Government Public Transport Fare Concession Scheme for the Elderly and Eligible Persons with Disabilities was launched in 2011 to enable people aged 65 or above and eligible people with disabilities to travel on designated public transport at a concessionary fare of \$2 per trip. In 2022, the beneficiary age was lowered to 60 or above. To contain the growth of government expenditure, it was announced in the 2025-26 Budget that the scheme's concessionary fares will change from \$2 to "\$2 flat rate cum 80% discount", meaning that while beneficiaries will continue to pay \$2 for trips with fares below or equal to \$10, they will need to pay 20% of the full fare for trips costing above \$10; additionally, the number of concessionary trips will be limited to 240 per month. This survey only focused on the changes to the fares and did not ask respondents for their opinions on the monthly limitation on the number of trips.

The survey employed a dual-frame sampling design that included both landline and mobile phone numbers. A total of 711 respondents aged 18 or above (landline: 175; mobile: 536) were successfully interviewed, with a response rate of 61.0% (landline: 57.8%; mobile: 62.0%). The sampling error is estimated at plus or minus 3.68 percentage points at a 95% confidence level. The data in this survey was weighted based on the probability of the respondents being selected via dual-frame sampling design and relevant age-sex distribution of the population published by the Census and Statistics Department before analysis.

Media enquiries:

Dr Victor Zheng Wan-tai, Associate Director (Executive) (Tel: 3943 1341)